

SDNP/20/00069
Ditchling

Page 19

A further representation has been received by the applicants on 26/06/2020, which states the following:

Having read the Planning Application Committee Report we feel there are some errors that we ask be pointed out to the Members in the form of a late letter.

2.1 "Single story front extension" - incorrect, there is no single story front extension.

4. Quoted area increase figures and percentages have been incorrect throughout the entire process starting from the first refusal. In accordance with the RICS 6th Edition Code of Measuring Practice (quoted on p6 of SDLP Extensions and Replacement Dwellings TAN August 2019) we assert that the increase is 110%.

8.2 "Infill extension to existing front porch" - incorrect, there is no infill extension to the porch.

8.7 Existing 133 m2 overall area including the existing garage - incorrect, it is 146.4m2 including existing garage.

Officers Comments:

2.1 & 8.2 – This is an officer error, the porch area remains covered, but unenclosed, as per existing, with just replacement doors and windows. This does not affect the internal floor area calculations.

4. The increase of 164%, as calculated by the planning officer, was measured and checked multiple times. The applicants have calculated the increase at 110%, but have provided no details as to how this calculation was arrived at, or why it should vary so much from that of the planning officer. Regardless of this discrepancy, the comments from the SDNP Policy team maintain that “the current proposal is considered excessive”.

8.7 Again, the floor area measurements were calculated and checked multiple times. An existing floor area measurement of 133 sqm is considered accurate.

This page is intentionally left blank