

## COUNTY POT BID SUMMARIES

### 1.ESCC Seahaven Academy Expansion

	<b>Leading Organisation</b>	ESCC
	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>
	Phase 1 of delivering additional secondary school places to support development in Peacehaven, Telscombe and Newhaven. The project will expand the school by one form of entry by September 2020.	Seahaven Academy in Newhaven
	<b>Type of Infrastructure</b>	<b>Ward</b>
	Education	Newhaven
Finance	<b>Overall cost of the project</b>	<b>% Cost Secured</b>
	£4,500,000.00	83% (1.2 million previously awarded from CIL County Pot) the rest proposed through DofE grants/loans
	<b>Amount Sought</b>	<b>% of Total Cost</b>
	£800,000.00	17%
	<b>Commitment</b>	<b>Project Partners</b>
	Fully committed	
	<b>Constraints</b>	
	None project is currently underway	
	<b>Timescale</b>	
	0-2 years implementation.	
Project support	<b>IDP (weighting) / 123 List</b>	<b>Comments for District Councillors</b>
	IDP and 123 list	
	<b>Community Support</b>	
	Supported	
	<b>Governance</b>	
	Project managed and overseen by ESCC.	

**Officer's assessment:**

<b>Strengths</b>		<b>Weaknesses</b>	
Money from S106 and Loan from DofE towards the project obtained. Features on the IDP and 123 List			
Score	2	Score	0
<b>Opportunities</b>		<b>Threats</b>	
Increases school places in Newhaven/Peacehaven		ESCC Previously awarded £1.2million for this project in the last bidding round.	
Score	1	Score	-1
<b>Overall score</b>		<b>2</b>	

This project at the Seahaven Academy has previously been awarded £1.2 million from the CIL County Pot. ESCC are bidding for the maximum amount possible to be released from the County Pot once the Ring Road Improvements have been taken into account.

As in the previous bidding round this project has been identified in the IDP as required infrastructure to increase the capacity of the Seahaven Academy to meet future needs of development in the area.

In principal this project is supported but it will need to be decided at the CIL Executive Board meeting exactly how much money should remain in the County Pot as a buffer in case of urgent strategic infrastructure requirements outside of the regular bidding rounds. Taking this buffer into account it can be determined how much money can be release against the request of £800,000.00.

## 2. ESCC Newhaven Ring Road Improvement

	<b>Leading Organisation</b>	ESCC
	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>
	Improvements to the Newhaven Ring Road including junction modifications and pedestrian crossing traffic light linking. For detail please see attached supporting documentation.	Newhaven
	<b>Type of Infrastructure</b>	<b>Ward</b>
	Transport and Highways	Newhaven
Finance	<b>Overall cost of the project</b>	<b>% Cost Secured</b>
	£300,000.00	0%
	<b>Amount Sought</b>	<b>% of Total Cost</b>
	£300,000.00	100%
	<b>Unlock additional funding / Projects</b>	
	<b>Commitment</b>	<b>Project Partners</b>
	Committed	
	<b>Constraints</b>	
	100% matched funding required	
	<b>Timescale</b>	
	Immediate if bid approved.	
Project support	<b>IDP (weighting) / 123 List</b>	<b>Comments for District Councillors</b>
	Features in the Local Transport Plan 2011-2026. Work was identified in the Capital Programme of Local Transport. Features on the IDP Transport Item G page 64.	Supported by Cllr James MacCleary
	<b>Community Support</b>	
	<b>Governance</b>	
	Project managed and overseen by ESCC.	

### Officer's assessment:

<b>Strengths</b>		<b>Weaknesses</b>	
Strong Governance Infrastructure features on IDP list, Transport Delivery Plan and Local Plan Well supported bid		No matched funding	
Score	3	Score	-1
<b>Opportunities</b>		<b>Threats</b>	
Improved Capacity of Newhaven Ring Road.		Does it tie in with future development? Will the work be done and then re-done? Longevity?	
Score	1	Score	-1
<b>Overall score</b>		<b>2</b>	

### CIL Officer Comments

This bid is for work to improve traffic flow around the Newhaven Ring Road by updating junctions and pedestrian crossing co-ordination to accommodate the planned housing and current development pressures within Newhaven and Peacehaven. An A259 Ring Road study was completed and shows that development forecasted up to 2030 can be accommodated within the existing highways network if these limited improvements were to be carried out.

The bid is well supported and the improvements to the Newhaven road network have been identified in the Lewes District Local Plan and the Local Transport Plan .It also features in the Infrastructure delivery plan however there is no matched funding proposed.

The current status of the project is that an independent Stage 2 Road Safety Audit is being carried out and the work is on track to start work in December 2019.

It is mentioned in the SWOT Analysis that a threat could be the longevity of the work. Will future development in Newhaven require further works? Could the works implemented be reversed? The Harbour Heights development is currently awaiting Highways comments and if these are available at the time the board sits they shall be made available and discussed at the time.

### 3- Egrets Way

	<b>Leading Organisation</b>	South Downs National Park
	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>
	Construction of 1.5km of level surfaced off road path alongside the River Ouse being phase 5 of the Egrets Way Project.	Newhaven/Piddinghoe
	<b>Type of Infrastructure</b>	<b>Ward</b>
	Cycle Way	Newhaven/Piddinghoe
	<b>Overall cost of the project</b>	<b>% Cost Secured</b>
	£443,200.00	~45%
Finance	<b>Amount Sought</b>	<b>% of Total Cost</b>
	£250,000.00	~55%
	<b>Unlock additional funding / Projects</b>	
	SDNP are in the process of confirming the 45% of the cost secured will be from the SDNP. This has been recommended for approval though yet to be formally approved at the relevant meetings..	
	<b>Commitment</b>	<b>Project Partners</b>
	Committed	SDNP
	<b>Constraints</b>	Egrets Way Project
	Licence agreements with landowners.	
	<b>Timescale</b>	
	Work to begin in 2020.	
Project support	<b>IDP (weighting) / 123 List</b>	<b>Comments for District Councillors</b>
	IDP- Cycling and Walking infrastructure and green infrastructure.	Supported by Cllr James MacCleary and Cllr William Meyer
	<b>Community Support</b>	
	Large amount of Community Support- please see additional supporting documents.	
	<b>Governance</b>	
	The project is managed and overseen by the South Downs National Park. The SDNP would oversee all financial aspects and have strict governance procedures in place.	

### Officer's assessment:

<b>Strengths</b>		<b>Weaknesses</b>	
Community Support Infrastructure features on IDP list Good matched funding amount Encourages Environmentally friendly travel.		Requires landowner final approval	
Score	4	Score	-1
<b>Opportunities</b>		<b>Threats</b>	
Improves connectivity across the district for non-motorised users. Encourages cross boundary co-operation			
Score	2	Score	0
<b>Overall score</b>		<b>5</b>	

### CIL Officer Assessment:

It has been approved by the Management Board already that 20% of this bid shall come from the Community Pot. It is therefore proposed that the remaining 80% (£200,000.00) shall come from the County Pot as this project is considered a strategic piece of Infrastructure which can connect the Havens with Lewes Town.

This bid for Phase 5 of the Egrets Way has been thoroughly prepared and will connect Piddinghoe with Riverside Park, Newhaven once complete. Landowner agreement has been sought in principal but this will be finalised prior to any CIL funds being released. The project encourages car-free travel to assist in the reductions emission and the improvement of health and wellbeing.

Phase 6 is currently submitted with the SDNP CIL and has been recommended for approval with 100% CIL Funding from the SDNP. This is awaiting formal sign off but along with phase 5 and a small section of phase 7 which is to be completed by the Highways Authority, it will finish the Egrets Way Project.

### CIL Management Board Comments

The CIL Management Board has approved this bid for 20% (£50,000.00) to be released from the County Pot. A recommended contingency is that this project – Phase 5 only has funds released if Phase 6 which is being wholly funded by the Park is approved and also once final Landowner sign off has been completed and formal quotes reviewed.