


App.No: 180569	Decision Due Date: 25 October 2018	Ward: Meads
Officer: James Smith	Site visit date: 20 th June 2018	Type: Outline (all reserved)
Site Notice(s) Expiry date: 2 nd July 2018		
Neighbour Con Expiry: 2 nd July 2018		
Press Notice(s): N/A		
Over 8/13 week reason: To allow for negotiation including reduction in size of scheme.		
Location: Wood Winton, 63a Silverdale Road, Eastbourne		
Proposal: : Outline planning permission (all matters reserved) for the erection of seven houses (AMENDED DESCRIPTION FOLLOWING REDUCTION OF UNITS).		
Applicant: Mr Sal Dato		
Recommendation: Approval subject to conditions including submission of reserved matters.		

Contact Officer(s): **Name:** James Smith
Post title: Specialist Advisor (Planning)
E-mail: James.Smith@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk
Telephone number: 01323 415026



1 Executive Summary

- 1.1 The application has been brought to committee to be determined due to the volume of letters of objection that have been received.
- 1.2 It is considered that the proposed development represents an efficient use of a brownfield site that would provide a useful contribution towards housing provision within the Borough.
- 1.3 It is considered that the scale, intensity and nature of the proposed development is compatible with the surrounding environment and that the proposed scheme provides a more optimal use of the site than the extant permission for the provision of a net increase of two dwellings on site.
- 1.4 It is considered that the layout of the proposed scheme is sympathetic to the amenities of neighbouring residents and would not bring about unacceptable levels overlooking, overbearing or overshadowing.
- 1.5 Further detail on the design of the proposed development and the landscaping of the site would be provided as reserved matters and will therefore be assessed at this stage. Further details would also be provided on site access arrangements as the Council need to be satisfied that pedestrians and vehicles can enter and level the site safely prior to granting full permission for the scheme.

2 Relevant Planning Policies

2.1 Revised National Planning Policy Framework 2018

- 2: Achieving sustainable development
- 4: Decision Making
- 5: Delivering a sufficient supply of homes
- 9: Promoting sustainable transport
- 11: Making effective use of land
- 12: Achieving well designed places

2.2 Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan Policies 2013

- B1: Spatial Development Strategy and Distribution
- B2: Creating Sustainable Neighbourhoods
- C11: Meads Neighbourhood Policy
- D1: Sustainable Development
- D5: Housing
- D9: Natural Environment
- D10: Historic Environment
- D10A: Design

2.3 Eastbourne Borough Plan Saved Policies 2007

- NE7: Waste Minimisation Measures in Residential Areas
- NE28: Environmental Amenity

UHT1: Design of New Development
UHT2: Height of Buildings
UHT4: Visual Amenity
UHT5: Protecting Walls/Landscape Features
UHT6: Tree Planting
UHT7: Landscaping
UHT15: Protection of Conservation Areas
UHT16: Protection of Areas of High Townscape Value
UHT18: Buildings of Local Interest
HO1: Residential Development Within the Existing Built-up Area
HO2: Predominantly Residential Areas
HO6: Infill Development
HO7: Redevelopment
HO20: Residential Amenity
TR11: Car Parking
TR12: Car Parking for Those with Mobility Problems

3 Site Description

- 3.1 The site is roughly triangular in shape, being broad at the eastern end and tapering towards the western extremity.
- 3.2 The site includes the detached dwelling, Wood Winton, which is a large 2½ - storey dwelling which has painted render elevation walls and a tiled, hipped roof which contains a number of dormers. The dwelling is cut into a slope, which rises towards the rear of the building. There is a detached garage and other outbuildings to the side (east). A garden is provided to the rear (south) of the dwelling. The majority of the development site occupies the area to the west of the dwelling, which appears to have been a garden at some point, with gated access from Wood Winton, but is now overgrown.
- 3.3 The portion of the site where the proposed houses are to be located is predominantly flat but slopes upwards from the south to the north. There is also a more gentle gradient running from the east of the site to the west. Neighbouring plots to the south and are at a higher level whilst those to the north and east are at a lower level. The majority of the site is enclosed by flint walling, which acts as a retaining wall in places. Large trees are generally restricted to site boundaries with only small fruit and ornamental trees within the main part of the site. The most notable tree is a mature Lime tree, of significant stature, which is positioned adjacent to the site access road and is covered by a Tree Preservation Order.
- 3.4 The access road itself is entered via a dropped kerb in Silverdale Road. The road is hard surfaced, although significantly worn and potholed, and is steep and winding due to the topography and the layout of neighbouring sites. The access road is bordered by flint walling, which is damaged in places, and a green verge which includes hedgerow and occasional mature trees.
- 3.5 The site is fairly secluded due to its positioning to the rear of surrounding buildings, the surrounding topography and the presence of mature landscaping.

Surrounding development is predominantly residential in nature and consists of large, generally detached, building originally separate dwellings but many of which have been subdivided into flats. These are interspersed with more modern three and four-storey blocks of flats that are set within well landscaped plots. Equally spaced street trees, grass verges and flint boundary walling generate a distinctive suburban character on the road. Many of the original buildings possess distinctive architectural features in the 'Arts & Crafts' vernacular and date from the late 19th to early 20th century. This is recognised by the fact that dwellings on St Johns Road that back on to the site are within the Meads Conservation Area whilst all other surrounding properties are within an Area of High Townscape Value.

4 Relevant Planning History

4.1 030202

Demolition of existing house and erection of three detached houses with garages.

Outline (some reserved)

Approved conditionally

4.2 060712

Renewal of outline planning permission EB/2003/0255(OL) for the demolition of existing house and erection of three detached houses with garages

Outline (some reserved)

Approved conditionally

4.3 120089

Redevelopment of site including demolition of existing building and erection of three detached dwellings with parking and garages together with lengthening access drive (outline application)

Outline (some reserved)

Approved conditionally

4.4 160226

Demolition of existing dwelling, erection of 3 no. detached dwellings with parking and garages together with lengthening existing access drive.

Outline (some reserved)

Approved conditionally

5 Proposed development

5.1 The proposal involves the provision of 7 x detached dwellings which would occupy the currently overgrown area to the rear of Wood Winton as well as part of the existing garden space to the south. Wood Winton itself would be retained.

5.2 The proposed dwellings would be arranged in a group of two to the south of Wood Winton, a row of four in a staggered arrangement towards the southern boundary of the site and a single dwelling in the western corner of the site. The proposed development would utilise the existing access road serving Wood Winton and extend it to run alongside the northern site boundary, to form a cul-de-sac with turning points at the end.

- 5.3 Each dwelling would be provided with a car parking space under a car port structure with an additional tandem parking space on a hard surfaced driveway to the front of it. These driveways would be accessed directly from the main cul-de-sac.

6 Consultations

6.1 Specialist Advisor (Arboriculture):

- 6.1.1 The TPO is a bit of an outdated mess and if you compare my plan with the TPO plan you will see the principle trees in the garden are absent on site, and only one of the trees on the driveway – a lime (T17 of the Order) is of interest.

- 6.1.2 Subject to the demands of the Highways Engineers, it might be possible to retain this tree but to do so special protection measures will have to be employed.

6.2 Specialist Advisor (Planning Policy):

- 6.2.1 Policy C11 is the 'Meads Neighbourhood' policy, which sets out the vision for this area as the following; 'Meads will strengthen its position as one of the most sustainable neighbourhoods in the town. It will make an important contribution to the delivery of housing and increasing its importance to the tourism industry, whilst conserving and enhancing its heritage and historic areas.' This vision will be promoted through a number of factors, including 'Providing new housing through redevelopments and conversions in a mix of types and styles'. It has been identified in the Core Strategy as the second most sustainable neighbourhood in the borough.

- 6.2.2 The NPPF requires local planning authorities to identify and update annually, a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing. As of 1st April 2018, Eastbourne is only able to demonstrate a 3.26 year supply of housing land, meaning that Eastbourne cannot demonstrate a five-year housing land supply. The NPPF would view this application with a 'presumption in favour of sustainable development,' as described in paragraph 14 of that document. It is not considered that the proposal would be contrary to the NPPF as a whole, or contrary to any specific policies in the NPPF.

- 6.2.3 It is important to note that as this application is for 7 units, it does not meet the threshold for contribution towards affordable housing. Also, this application is not liable for CIL as it is a development of flats, which are not chargeable under Eastbourne's current charging schedule.

- 6.2.4 It is important to note that this site is identified as being within an area of High Townscape Value as described in UHT16 of the Eastbourne Borough Plan, as well as being adjacent to a Conservation Area outlined in Policy D10 of the Core Strategy.

- 6.2.5 The Borough Plan Policy HO2 identifies this location as being predominantly residential. In order to reach housing targets, planning permission will be granted for residential schemes within these predominantly residential areas.

This site has been previously identified in the Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA). Therefore, policy has no objections to this application.

6.3 Southern Water:

6.3.1 Southern Water requires a formal application for a connection to the public sewer to be made by the applicant or developer.

6.4 Highways ESCC (Summary):

6.4.1 I can confirm that the attached drawings would be acceptable at this stage for outline application on highways grounds. I do have a couple of comments detailed below:

6.4.2 Whilst the provision of a continuous footway would not be possible for the reasons detailed, marking out a pedestrian route to the site access is nevertheless recommended. This could be in the form of road markings with pedestrian logos. With the low expected flows, encroaching on this area if required for two vehicles to pass would not necessarily constitute a significant safety risk. This should be provided at reserved matters stage.

6.4.3 I note that the refuse vehicle used in the swept path is 9m long. This is shorter than required in our standards, and Eastbourne Borough Council's waste management team should assess to see whether this would be acceptable.

6.4.4 I would note that locating the cycle storage and bin storage together could present conflicts in that the cycle storage should be secure, whilst access to the bin store should be readily available. This should be addressed at reserved matters stage.

6.5 SUDS:

6.5.1 The information submitted by the applicant in support of the planning application has not satisfied the Lead Local Flood Authority and does not assure us that surface water and local flood risk have been adequately taken into account.

6.5.2 No surface water runoff management strategy has been proposed by the applicant apart from indicating the use of soakaways on the application form.

6.5.3 Although the application site is shown to be underlain by chalk group geology, our experience has shown that infiltration potential can only be proven by testing as some soils which would be expected to have high infiltration rates have low infiltration rates in the past. Therefore, the applicant should undertake infiltration testing in accordance with BRE365 (2016 publication) to prove the feasibility of infiltration methods at the site. In addition, the site is shown to be in an area with a potential for groundwater flooding. Therefore, the potential high groundwater could affect the feasibility of infiltration at the application site. The applicant should carry out groundwater monitoring to understand the seasonal variation of groundwater levels and their impact on infiltration.

- 6.5.4 If infiltration is found to be unfeasible, the applicant should consider alternative surface water disposal methods. Any proposed discharge to the adjacent public surface water sewers and its rates should be discussed with Southern Water.
- 6.5.5 The application site is part of an area that contributes surface water overland flows to Silverdale Road and affects the properties directly opposite the site access. The introduction of an impermeable area at the application site without a good understanding of its impact on surface water overland flows could make this existing surface water flood risk worse.
- 6.6 Meads Community Association:
- 6.6.1 We consider this outline application to be a gross overdevelopment of the site which would also compromise the environmental quality of the area. The site plan recognises a loss of a number of substantial trees and would have a severe impact on the character and appearance of this part of Meads which is recognised by EBC as having a high townscape value.
- 6.6.2 The access to the development is along an uphill, long and winding driveway suitable for only one vehicle at a time. The entrance to the drive off Silverdale Road is extremely narrow and with the intensity of traffic generated from within the development this will cause additional problems in Silverdale Road which is a busy road with a bus route and has parking on both sides of the road. Emergency vehicles would have major difficulties in accessing the development as would refuse and recycling vehicles. Therefore residents would have to place their bins at the drive entrance.
- 6.6.3 We suggest that planning committee members visit the site to see for themselves the impact this development would have on this important part of Meads and the impracticality of the proposed development as set out in the site plan.

7 Neighbour Representations:

- 7.1 Objections have been received from 20 individual members of the public, covering the following points:
- Overdevelopment of the site.
 - Will cause overlooking and overshadowing.
 - Would fail to conserve and enhance the setting of the Meads Conservation Area.
 - High density of the development would produce unacceptable noise levels.
 - Would impact on traffic and put pressure on parking.
 - Housing is needed but should be sustainable and in keeping with its setting.
 - Squashed conditions will be detrimental to future occupants as well as neighbours.
 - The access road will cause trouble with no access for emergency services and difficulties for deliveries. Pedestrians will also be at risk.
 - Would be difficult to widen access as it is bordered by retaining walls and trees.
 - Cramped nature of site would be a huge shift in character from the properties surrounding it.

- Would result in the loss of trees and further pressure being placed on retained trees. Fauna would also be affected.
- Retained trees would overshadow gardens and windows of proposed properties.
- Will result in numerous bins being positioned on Silverdale Road.
- Not convinced the development would provide affordable homes, the terminology in the application has been used to tick boxes.

8 Appraisal

8.1 Principle of development:

- 8.1.1 The site is located within the built-up area, where the principle of development is considered to be acceptable.
- 8.1.2 Para. 11 of the revised NPPF (2018) states that decision taking should be based on the approval of development plan proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay.
- 8.1.3 Where the policies that are most important for determining the application are out of date, which includes, for applications involving the provision of housing, situations where the local authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites, permission should be granted unless any adverse impacts would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the Policies in the NPPF as a whole.
- 8.1.4 Currently, Eastbourne is only able to demonstrate a 3.26 year supply of land. This proposal, for 11 additional units, would make a contribution towards increasing the number of year's supply of housing land.
- 8.1.5 Para. 118 of the NPPF states that substantial weight should be given to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs. Development of under-utilised land and buildings should be promoted and supported, especially where this would help to meet identified needs for housing. Whilst the majority of the site had, at one time, formed part of the gardens serving Wood Winton, it has long since been partitioned and has become partially overgrown. It is, however, noted that houses 1 and 2 would be built in an area that currently forms part of the existing garden at Wood Winton.
- 8.1.6 It is noted that there is extant planning permission (160226) for the demolition of Wood Winton and the provision of 3 new dwellings occupying the site. This is the latest of a series of approvals for similar schemes which stretch back to 2003. The failure of any of these approved schemes to be built indicates a lack of viability to these scheme. The proposed scheme involves retaining Wood Winton and building to a higher density in terms of dwellings per hectare. Para. 123 of the NPPF states that, 'where there is an existing or anticipated shortage of land for meeting identified housing needs, it is especially important that planning policies and decisions avoid homes being built at low densities and ensure that developments make optimal use of the potential of each site.' Section c of the same paragraph goes on to state that 'local planning authorities should refuse applications which they consider fail to make efficient use of land,

taking into account the policies in this Framework. In this context, when considering applications for housing, authorities should take a flexible approach in applying policies or guidance relating to daylight and sunlight, where they would otherwise inhibit making efficient use of a site (as long as the resulting scheme would provide acceptable living standards).'

- 8.1.7 Policy B1 of the Eastbourne Core Strategy identifies the Meads neighbourhood as a sustainable neighbourhood where residential density of up to 127 dwellings per hectare would be considered to be appropriate, subject to compliance with other relevant planning policies.
- 8.1.8 It is therefore considered that the principle of the residential development of the site is acceptable, as has been demonstrated by the planning history of the site, and also that a higher density scheme is encouraged by both local and national planning policy.
- 8.2 Impact of proposed development on amenity of adjoining occupiers and surrounding area:
- 8.2.1 The site is raised above the site levels of neighbouring flatted development to the south and west, whilst the flatted development that back on to the north of the site are at a higher level. The dwellings are concentrated towards the northern side of the site so as to minimise the impact on the flats to the south. The road serving the development would flank the southern site boundary, where there is a flint wall in place as well as a tree line that would prevent light spillage from car headlights into neighbouring windows and also provide a degree of soundproofing.
- 8.2.2 The nearest that any rear elevation of the proposed dwellings reaches to the northern site boundary is approximately 4.4 metres (house 6) with the flank elevation of house 7 reaching within approximately 1.2 metres. The buildings accommodating the residential flats that the site backs on to are, at their closest, a minimum of 31 metres set back from the northern site boundary. These flats are also on land that is raised in relation to the proposed development site.
- 8.2.3 As a result of the changing topography, screening offered by boundary treatment which includes flint walls, hedgerow and tree lines, as well as the layout of the proposed development, it is not considered that it would appear overbearing towards neighbouring dwellings nor would it cause undue levels of overshadowing. As the application is for outline permission only, there are no details available relating to the exact positioning of windows. However, it is considered that a sufficient amount of windows to serve each dwelling could be installed without introducing invasive views towards neighbouring residential property.
- 8.2.4 The proposal would involve a more intensive use of the site, including more frequent use of the site access road. The access road is flanked on both sides by flint walling and hedgerow and does not pass immediately alongside any residential buildings/ Whilst the use of the site would be more intense, at approximately 25 dwellings per hectare, the residential density would be well below that of neighbouring development, a large proportion of which is in the

form of flats. It is therefore considered that the intensity of the proposed use would not be disruptive or out of keeping with the overall context of the surrounding area.

8.3 Design issues:

8.3.1 As the application seeks outline permission only, the final design of the proposed dwellings is a reserved matter, although indicative drawings have been provided with the application. The site layout suggests an arrangement of two-storey detached dwellings of fairly uniform footprint and layout. It is considered that this would be appropriate for a small scale residential development of the nature of the proposal. It would also ensure that the retained building at Wood Winton would not be overwhelmed by the development.

8.3.2 The layout provides a good degree of separation between individual dwellings, ensures that properties are not overlooked or overshadowed by neighbouring dwellings and allows for the provision of suitably sized private amenity space. Car parking is provided in car ports that are set back to the side of each dwelling and are served by a driveway. A large proportion of the site frontage would be maintained for soft landscaping, ensuring cars and other vehicles do not appear overly dominant within the development.

8.3.3 Although the site is fairly secluded, being positioned behind neighbouring development, the amount of dwellings within the site will ensure that there is likely to be a continued presence and activity throughout the day, preventing the site from appearing isolated and secluded and, therefore, discouraging anti-social behaviour.

8.3.4 The amount of floor space provided within each dwelling is not provided at the outline stage. However, measurements taken from the submitted layout plan demonstrate that the individual dwellings would have a sufficient footprint to ensure that the floor space they could accommodate would be compliant with National technical Space Standards. The dwellings are set fairly centrally within their plots and, as a result, windows would not be positioned close to site boundaries where the presence of trees and retaining walls may impede natural light permeation and also reduce the available outlook.

8.3.5 It is noted that the rear gardens of some dwellings, particularly house 7, may be subject to a degree of overshadowing owing to the presence of dense tree lines around the overall development area. It is not considered that this would be to the extent that it would be of significant harm to amenities. It should also be noted that para. 123 (c) of the Revised NPPF instructs that 'when considering applications for housing, authorities should take a flexible approach in applying policies or guidance relating to daylight and sunlight, where they would otherwise inhibit making efficient use of a site (as long as the resulting scheme would provide acceptable living standards).'

8.4 Impacts on trees:

8.4.1 There are a number of trees on and around the site, primarily concentrated towards the site boundaries, where there are also trees overhanging from neighbouring sites. Trees within the interior of the site are generally restricted to

small fruit trees and ornamental planting.

- 8.4.2 The Council's Arboriculturalist has visited the site and noted that a number of trees that had been covered by a Tree Preservation Order were no longer present on site. The only remaining TPO tree which may be affected by the development is a lime tree which is on the edge of the existing access road. The arboriculturalist believes steps should be taken to protect the tree during construction works as well as following completion in order to ensure it is not damaged.
- 8.4.3 The majority of the boundary trees would not be significantly affected as a result of the proposal and would continue to contribute to the verdant nature of the surrounding area as well as provide sympathetic screening to the development and a pleasant environment. None of the trees that are to be removed possess individual or collective value that would merit Tree preservation Order status.
- 8.4.4 Each dwelling would be served by front and back gardens which would provide additional landscaping to the development.
- 8.5 Impacts on highway network or access:
- 8.5.1 The site is accessed by a single carriageway road that climbs fairly steeply and also features sharp turns in places. ESCC Highways initially objected to the use of this road for a development of the intensity proposed. This was on account of concerns over the potential for conflict between vehicles entering and leaving the site, the suitability of the use of the access for pedestrians, as there is no other footpath access to the site and the ability for servicing and emergency vehicles to be able to enter and leave the site.
- 8.5.2 The applicant responded by remodelling the access track, widening it where possible, providing passing points and waiting areas. It is also noted that the winding nature of the road would, in itself, deter motorists from entering and leaving the site at speed. Vehicle tracking diagrams, which demonstrate that servicing vehicles can enter and leave the site in forward gear, have also been provided.
- 8.5.3 As a result of these additional plans, ESCC Highways have removed their objection to the development. This is subject to conditions that would be imposed at the reserved matters stage, which would include the requirement for road marking to be used to identify a continuous pedestrian footway to the site.
- 8.5.4 Each dwelling would be provided with two car parking spaces, one of which would be provided within a car port. This is a sufficient amount of car parking to support the development without giving rise to concerns of increased car parking pressure on the surrounding highway network. These car parking spaces would be directly alongside the dwelling and, therefore, be easily accessible. The parking spaces would be entered directly from the main access road and there is sufficient manoeuvring space to allow cars to turn into and out of the spaces. A turning head is also provided at the end of the cul-de-sac to allow for vehicles, including servicing vehicles, to turn.

8.6 Sustainable development implications:

8.6.1 It is noted that the Lead Local Flood Authority have objected to the scheme, on the basis of a lack of information being provided. Given that the application is for outline permission only, it is considered that the concerns raised, which relate to the ability of the ground to support infiltration drainage, could be addressed by the applicant carrying out the requested testing and submitting results at the reserved matters stage. It is also noted that there is an opportunity to use the Southern Water public sewer for surface water disposal if infiltration is found to be unfeasible.

8.7 Sustainable development implications:

8.7.1 As the development would involve a net increase of 7 residential dwellings, it would be liable to a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charge. As such, a liability notice would be issued to the developer, should planning permission be granted.

9 Human Rights Implications

9.1 The impacts of the proposal have been assessed as part of the application process. Consultation with the community has been undertaken and the impact on local people is set out above. The human rights considerations have been taken into account fully in balancing the planning issues; and furthermore the proposals will not result in any breach of the Equalities Act 2010.

10 Recommendation

10.1 That the application is approved, subject to the conditions listed below and the receipt of satisfactory details on all reserved matters:-

10.2 The development hereby permitted shall be commenced before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission or two years from the approval of the last of the reserved matters as defined in condition 2 below, whichever is the later.

Reason: To ensure that the Local Planning Authority retains the right to review unimplemented permissions and to comply with Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

10.3 Details of the reserved matters set out below (“the reserved matters”) shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval within three years from the date of this permission:

- i) appearance (including full schedule of external materials);
- ii) scale
- iii) landscaping
- iv) Surface water drainage

The reserved matters shall comply with the parameters set out for access and layout established by this outline permission and be carried out as approved. Approval of all reserved matters shall be obtained from the Local Planning Authority in writing before any development is commenced.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to control the development in detail

- 10.4 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved drawings:-

94554/101/B – Proposed Development of Seven Houses;
23473901-STR-HGN-100-DR-D-00101 – Proposed Layout;
23473901-STR-HGN-100-DR-D-00102 – Swept Path Analysis;
23473901-STR-HGN-100-DR-D-00103 – Swept Path

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

- 10.5 Prior to the commencement of development, details of surfacing, signage and any other measures to control and direct traffic movements, as well as identify a continuous pedestrian footway to serve the development, shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the access shall be constructed in accordance to these details prior to the occupation of the development and maintained as such thereafter.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

- 10.6 The development hereby permitted shall not be occupied until car parking spaces shown on approved plan 23473901-STR-HGN-100-DR-D-00101 have been surfaced and marked out. The parking spaces shall thereafter be maintained throughout the lifetime of the development and the land on which they are positioned be used for no purpose other than for the parking of vehicles.

Reason: To ensure adequate parking provision for the development and to prevent overspill to on street car parking in accordance with Policy TR11 of the Eastbourne Borough Plan (2007).

- 10.7 Prior to the commencement of development, a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with the Highway Authority. This shall include the size of construction and delivery vehicles, wheel cleaning facilities, traffic management (to allow safe access for construction vehicles), contractor parking and a compound for plant/machinery and materials clear of the public highway. Associated traffic should avoid peak traffic flow times.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and the amenities of neighbouring residents.

11 Appeal

Should the applicant appeal the decision the appropriate course of action to be followed, taking into account the criteria set by the Planning Inspectorate, is considered to be written representations.